

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 20 2005



## FAX COVER SHEET

Page 1 of 3

DATE: 9/19/2005

08/568777

FROM: Ron Neerings	TO: Paul Myers
COMPANY NAME: TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED	COMPANY NAME: USPTO
PHONE NUMBER: (972) 917-5299	PHONE NUMBER: 571-273-3639
FAX NUMBER: (972) 917-4418 or 917-4417	FAX NUMBER: 571-273-3639

This telecopy is intended only for the use of the addressee named and contains legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this telecopy, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this communication is strictly prohibited. Applicable privileges are not waived by virtue of the document having been transmitted by Fax. Any misdirected faxes should be returned to the sender by mail at the address indicated on the cover page.

## MESSAGE

Copy of: 116 Amd Petition Amd Transmtl PostCard Stamp Dated	RECEIVED OIPE/IAP SEP 21 2005
--	-------------------------------------

Fax sent by: \_\_\_\_\_

Texas Instruments Incorporated - Post Office Box 655474 - Dallas, Texas 75265  
7839 Churchill Way - Dallas - (972) 917-5557 - FAX: (972) 917-4418

PAGE 1/31 \* RCVD AT 9/19/2005 2:57:19 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXXF-6/24 \* DNIS:2733639 \* CSID:972 917 4417 \* DURATION (mm-ss):09-26

PAGE 1/31 \* RCVD AT 9/19/2005 3:28:24 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXXF-6/30 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:7033053718 \* DURATION (mm-ss):09-20

In The "Received" stamp of the Patent and Trademark Office imprinted hereon acknowledges the filing of:

<input type="checkbox"/> NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL <input type="checkbox"/> DECLARATION <input type="checkbox"/> ASSIGNMENT & RECORDATION COVER SHEET <input type="checkbox"/> FORMAL DRAWINGS ( SHEETS ENCLOSED) <input type="checkbox"/> CONTINUATION APPLICATION <input type="checkbox"/> DIVISIONAL APPLICATION <input type="checkbox"/> ISSUE FEE and LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AMENDMENT 116 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL <input type="checkbox"/> EOT - MONTH(S) <input type="checkbox"/> NOTICE OF APPEAL <input type="checkbox"/> APPEAL BRIEF <input type="checkbox"/> REPLY BRIEF ( IN TRIPLICATE ) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PETITION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF PREMATURE FINAL REJECTION
NAME OF INVENTOR(S): Ronald L. Smith, et al.	SERIAL NUMBER: 08/586,777
TITLE OF INVENTION: Portable Computer Having An Interface For Direct Connection To A Mobile Telephone	CONFIRMATION NO.: 7439
TI FILE NO.: TI-22187 DEPOSIT ACCT. NO.: 20-0668	
EXPRESS MAIL RECEIPT NO.	
MAILED DATE: May 25, 2005 DATE DUE: June 29, 2005 ATTY/SECY: Ronald Neerings / Elizabeth Austin	

RECEIVED  
JUN 10 2005  
PATENT DEPT

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
SEP 20 2005

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Ronald L. Smith

Serial No.: ~~08/586,777~~ 08-568 777

Filed: 12/07/1995

For: PORTABLE COMPUTER HAVING AN INTERFACE FOR DIRECT  
CONNECTION TO A MOBILE TELEPHONE

Docket No.: TI-22187

Art Unit: 2112

Examiner: Myers, Paul R.

Conf No.: 7439

**PETITION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF PREMATURE FINAL REJECTION**  
**37 C.F.R. § 1.181**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-14550

**MAILING CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)**I hereby certify, that on this date, this correspondence is being  
deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an  
envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks,  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on May 25, 2005  
Elizabeth Austin

Dear Sir:

The USPTO mailed an Office communication finally rejecting Claims 15-28 and 30-46 in the above-identified application on April 29, 2005. Applicants hereby petition the Commissioner under 37 C.F.R. 1.181 to invoke his supervisory authority and order the withdrawal of the final rejection, for the reasons set forth below.

**THE FACTS:**

- 1) The Examiner canceled Claims 8-11 by Examiner's amendment on May 20, 2002. The Examiner, however, was without authority to cancel Claims 8-11 the rejection of which was REVERSED by the Board of Appeals in its Decision on Appeal dated March 8, 2002

TI-22187

Page 1

PAGE 3/31 \* RCVD AT 9/19/2005 2:57:19 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/24 \* DNIS:2733639 \* CSID:972 917 4417 \* DURATION (mm-ss):09-26

PAGE 3/31 \* RCVD AT 9/19/2005 3:28:24 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/30 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:7033053718 \* DURATION (mm-ss):09-20

(see page 7, line 11 – page 8, line 3 & page 9, lines 9-10). [The Examiner's actions were prohibited under 37 CFR 1.198; MPEP 1214.07; & MPEP 1406] Accordingly, there was no rejection pending on Claims 8-11.

- 2) In an amendment dated December 29, 2004, Applicants pointed out that the Examiner was without authority to cancel Claims 8-11, the rejection of which had been reversed by the Board of Appeals. Accordingly, Applicants argued that Claims 8-11 remained pending and were allowable in response to the reversal by the Board of Appeals. Again, there was no rejection pending on Claims 8-11.
- 3) The Examiner admits his mistake in canceling Claims 8-11 in an Office Action dated April 29, 2005. The Examiner goes on to explain that he has since been instructed to treat canceled Claims 8-11 as newly added Claims 43-46. The Examiner then proceeds to issue a Final Rejection (not a non-final rejection) on Claims 43-46 under the following grounds of rejections presented for the first time in the Office Action dated April 29, 2005: Claims 43-44 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sainton PN 5,249,218 in view of Morris PN 5,020,090; Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sainton PN 5,249,218 in view of Morris PN 5,020,090 as applied to claim 43 above and further in view of Kobayashi PN 5,111,361; and Claims 43-44 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hop PN 4,912,756 in view of Morris PN 5,020,090

### ARGUMENT

There was no rejection pending on Claims 8-11 or 43-46 prior to the rejection of Claims 43-46 issued by the Examiner on April 29, 2005. As such, issuing a FINAL rejection on Claims 43-46 was clearly premature. Moreover, Claims 43-46 are word for word identical to Claims 8-11 the rejection of which was reversed by the Board of Appeals and should be treated no

differently in prosecution whether they are identified as Claims 8-11 or 43-46 and should be treated as if they were present in the application before and after March 4, 2003. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully petition the Commissioner to withdraw the finality of the rejection issued by the Examiner on April 29, 2005.

While Applicants could find no fee associated with petitions under 37 C.F.R. § 1.181, if there is a fee, please charge the fee to Deposit Account No. 20-0668. Three copies of this sheet are enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,



Ronald O. Neerings  
Reg. No. 34,227  
Attorney for Applicants

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED  
P.O. BOX 655474, M/S 3999  
Dallas, Texas 75265  
972/917-5299

TI-22187

Page 3

PAGE 5/31 \* RCVD AT 9/19/2005 2:57:19 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/24 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:872 917 4417 \* DURATION (mm-ss):09-26

PAGE 5/31 \* RCVD AT 9/19/2005 3:28:24 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/30 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:7033053718 \* DURATION (mm-ss):09-20